

TRUST BOARD PART I – FEBRUARY 2012

Agenda Item Number: 23/12
Enclosure Number: (5)

| | |
|--|---|
| Subject: | Assurance Framework |
| Prepared by: Sponsored by: Presented by: | Sheena King – Head of Risk Management Peter Mellor – Company Secretary Peter Mellor – Company Secretary |
| Purpose of paper <i>Why is this paper going to the Trust Board?</i> | Requires Trust Board guidance Discussion requested by Trust Board Regular Reporting Statutory Requirement |
| Key points for Trust Board members <i>Briefly summarise in bullet point format the main points and key issues that the Trust Board members should focus on including conclusions and proposals</i> | Trust Board are asked to note <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Top risks • Increase of risk 1.2 to a score of 12 • Decrease of risks 6.3 to a risk score of 12 and 6.5 to a risk score of 8 |
| Options and decisions required <i>Clearly identify options that are to be considered and any decisions required</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the Assurance Framework and consider requirement for further assurance on actions related to significant risks. • Determine any further assurance required on any aspect of the Framework |
| Next steps / future actions: <i>Clearly identify what will follow the Trust Board's discussion</i> | Any decisions with regard to the severity and/or removal of the risks will be actioned as appropriate and presented to Trust Board in March 12. |
| Consideration of legal issues (including Equality Impact Assessment)? | None |
| Consideration of Public and Patient Involvement and Communications Implications? | None |

ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK REPORT

TRUST BOARD: February 2012

Purpose:

To provide the Trust Board with an update on the Assurance Framework as of 17 January 2012

Top Risks

- 1.3 ◀▶ (16): Trust fails to achieve monthly and annual trajectories for hospital acquired cases of C. Difficile infection, identified at or more than 72 hours of admission.
- 6.2 ◀▶ (16): The Trust performs a level of activity in 2011/12 that exceeds the cap agreed on the 2011/12 contract. This will effectively mean that the Trust is undertaking activity for no remuneration
- 2.1 ◀▶ (15): Implementation of the South Central Strategic Health Authority proposal to centralise provision of vascular surgery results in the loss of service from PHT with concomitant inability to support other specialties, detriment to patient experience and increase in required CIP

New Risks

Nil

Risks with an Increased Score

- 1.2 ▲ (Amber 9 to Amber 12): Inability to maintain ongoing compliance with all CQC standards – pending report from recent CQC responsive review

Risks with a Decreased Score

- 6.3 ▼ (Red 16 to Amber 12): 2011/12 Savings targets are not identified & delivered, with subsequent impact on Trust financial position – internal CIP on target
- 6.5 ▼ (Red 16 to Amber 8): Challenges associated with ability to ensure the effective delivery of the agreed PHT efficiency plan, as part of the overall whole system plan – estates rationalisation has closed deficit.

Risks to be Removed

Nil

Prepared by: Sheena King – Head of Risk Management & Legal Services

Presented by: Peter Mellor – Company Secretary

ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK 2011/12 – PROGRESS SUMMARY – JANUARY 2011

| STRATEGIC AIM Executive Lead | OPERATIONAL LEAD RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | PRINCIPAL RISK (Obstacle to achievement of strategic aim) | | CQC OUTCOME REFERENCE | PROGRESS MONTH ON MONTH | | | | | | | | | | TARGET (RESIDUAL) RISK SCORE/DATE TO ACHIEVE | | |
|--|---|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|--------------|
| | | | | | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | | SEP | OCT |
| 1. To provide best care as measured by clinical effectiveness, safety and patient experience (JD/SH) | FMcN (G&C) | 1.2 | Inability to maintain ongoing compliance with all CQC standards | ALL | 12 | 9 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | 6 Feb 12 |
| | CM (ICMC) | 1.3 | Trust fails to achieve monthly and annual trajectories for hospital acquired cases of C. Difficile infection, identified at or more than 72 hours of admission | 8 | 16 | 16 | 16 | | | | | | | | | | 4 Mar 12 |
| | SB (SPSSG) | 1.4 | Failure to improve results of the national Inpatient survey by 3 points as required by CQUIN | 16 | 9 | 9 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | 3 Mar 12 |
| 2. To be the hospital of choice for patients (JD/SH) | SW (EMT) | 2.1 | Implementation of the South Central Strategic Health Authority proposal to centralise provision of vascular surgery results in the loss of service from PHT with concomitant inability to support other specialties, detriment to patient experience and increase in required CIP. | 13 | 15 | 15 | 15 | | | | | | | | | | 10 Apr 12 |
| 3. To achieve our strategic aims, through living our values and through the skills and personal development of our staff (RK) | PG (SMT) | 3.2 | Inability to achieve and maintain Trust target of 85% compliance with statutory and mandatory training requirements by Q4 2011/12 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | 3 Mar 12 |
| | SC (SPSSG) | 3.3 | Failure to engage all staff in the PHT 'Bringing Values to Life' campaign | 16 | 6 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | 3 Jul 12 |
| | SC (SSCSG) | 3.4 | Trust fails to achieve improvement in staff satisfaction in line with the quality contract requirement to be placed above the bottom 20% of acute Trusts nationally for each key finding. | 14 | 9 | 9 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | 6 Jan 12 |
| 4. To be the employer of choice in South East Hampshire (RK) | RK (SMT) | 4.1 | Inability to attract the best staff to PHT, and continue to engage and motivate our current staff will compromise our ability to offer the best care | 13 | 4 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | 1 Jan 12 |
| 5. Be in the top quartile of NHS hospitals for 95% of all services we provide (CW) | CW | 5.1 | The Trust breaches emergency department quality standard key targets – A & E Patient Impact, A & E Timeliness | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | 3 Mar 12 |
| | CW | 5.2 | The Trust fails to achieve the required referral to treatment targets for admitted patients and reduce the 18 week admitted backlog. | 4 | 9 | 9 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | 3 Mar 12 |
| | CW | 5.2A | The Trust fails to achieve the required referral to treatment targets for non-admitted patients and reduce the 18 week admitted backlog. | 4 | | 9 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | 3 Mar 12 |

| STRATEGIC AIM Executive Lead | OPERATIONAL LEAD RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | PRINCIPAL RISK (Obstacle to achievement of strategic aim) | | CQC OUTCOME REFERENCE | PROGRESS MONTH ON MONTH | | | | | | | | | | TARGET (RESIDUAL) RISK SCORE/DATE TO ACHIEVE | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-------------|
| | | | | | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | | SEP | OCT | |
| | CW | 5.3 | The Trust fails to manage demand and capacity to reduce the number of > 6 week diagnostic breaches to required level | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | 3 Mar 12 |
| | CW | 5.4 | The Trust breaches required cancer referral/screening to treatment standards. | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | 3 Mar 12 |
| | CW | 5.5 | The Trust fails to meet standard for stroke care by failing to directly admit 90% of patients to the Stroke Unit | 4 | 9 | 9 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | 3 Mar 12 |
| 6. Work with our partners to create a sustainable economic enterprise, which eliminates waste and provides real value for money (RT) | SG (TAC) | 6.2 | The Trust performs a level of activity in 2011/12 that exceeds the cap agreed on the 2011/12 contract. This will effectively mean that the Trust is undertaking activity for no remuneration | 26 | 20 | 16 | 16 | | | | | | | | | | | 8 Mar 12 |
| | SG (TAC) | 6.3 | 2011/12 Savings targets are not identified & delivered, with subsequent impact on Trust financial position | 26 | 16 | 16 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 8 Mar 12 |
| | SG (TAC) | 6.4 | The Trust's need to deliver £30.5m of savings in 2011/12 has a detrimental impact on the quality of services provided to patients | 26 | 12 | 12 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 8 Mar 12 |
| | DH (TAC) | 6.5 | Challenges associated with ability to ensure the effective delivery of the agreed PHT efficiency plan, as part of the overall whole system plan and to achieve Foundation Trust Status | 26 | 16 | 16 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | 8 Mar 12 |

STRATEGIC AIM 1: TO PROVIDE BEST CARE AS MEASURED BY CLINICAL EFFECTIVENESS, SAFETY AND PATIENT EXPERIENCE

Responsible Executive: Director of Nursing/Medical Director

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING Risk Owner Responsible Committee | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress | |
|----------------------|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | | On target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | | Minor obstacle to achieving target | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Inability to achieve predicted target | |
| 1.2 (All) | Inability to maintain ongoing compliance with all CQC standards | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly CSC self-assessment + compliance statements Outcome Leads NHSLA Level 1 accreditation (Feb 10) Accepted for CQC registration without conditions 2010/11 CSC risk registers Mock CSC assessments and associated action plans Monitor Quality Risk Profile monthly Quarterly evidence and action plan review panels established including NED CQC awareness sessions Trust wide action plans for medicines management and privacy and dignity Action plan to address minor concerns for ongoing compliance with outcome 1 and 5 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly compliance to TB and key committees + monthly exception reporting (action plans in place for any identified compliance issues) Clinical dashboards / quality metrics CSC governance reports Mock CSC assessments Outcome of second quarterly evidence review panels show continued improvement in outcome focused evidence Internal CQC audit (Deloitte) Apr 11, demonstrating substantial assurance. CQC Jul 11 report for Outcome 1 (privacy & Dignity) and Outcome 5 (Nutrition) demonstrates overall compliance Compliance audits | 12 (3x4) FMcN G&Q | 12 (4x3) | 6 (3x2) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> None | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CQC report following responsive review received. Moderate concern against outcomes 4 and 9. Compliant with remaining 5 outcomes assessed although minor improvement actions required for outcomes 6, 7 and 13. Action plan in place to address concerns raised. Following weekly meetings with DoN, Director of Pharmacy, HoG and CSC management team, non compliance with outcome 9 has been downgraded to a minor concern as the action plan has progressed significantly in the last 4 months. Follow-up responsive review on 3rd and 4th January. Awaiting report from CQC | New process to commence at next panel in February 12 3 remaining actions related to management of medicines – on target to be closed end of March 12 For ongoing monitoring until all actions complete GA: continue weekly review to monitor completion of action plan. GA: action plan to be monitored monthly by Governance and Quality Committee | Feb 12 Mar 12 Ongoing Mar 12 | Review Feb 12 | |

STRATEGIC AIM 1: TO PROVIDE BEST CARE AS MEASURED BY CLINICAL EFFECTIVENESS, SAFETY AND PATIENT EXPERIENCE

Responsible Executive: Director of Nursing/Medical Director

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress |
|-------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | On target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | Minor obstacle to achieving target | Inability to achieve predicted target |
| 1.3 (8) | Trust fails to achieve monthly and annual trajectories for hospital acquired cases of C. Difficile infection, at or more than 72 hours of admission, thus prejudicing Trust's compliance to the Health & Social Care Act, Outcome 8 of CQC registration and overall Trust CQC registration. This may result in poor patient outcomes – including safety, experience and consequently damage to Trust reputation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C.Diff reduction action plan All emergency corridor patients with diarrhoea tested for C. Diff Weekly C. Diff MDT ward rounds Daily review by Infection Prevention & Control team to ensure optimal management of patients with C. Diff Enhanced cleaning and decontamination of patient environment Trust wide antimicrobial ward rounds between microbiology and pharmacy Amber incident investigation for failures to isolate symptomatic patients within 4 hours Diagnostic testing to identify C.Diff carriers | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring at ward, CSC and Trust level through clinical dashboards Dec 1 case below trajectory for year Isolation of suspected C.Diff patients included in performance indicators at CSC level | 16 (4x4) CM ICMC | 16 (4x4) | 4 (4x1) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not all elements of the reduction action plan in place Need to reevaluate efficacy of sporicidal agents used in the Trust with a view to improve cleaning Nurse led cleaning of near patient environment requires improvement Need to ensure appropriate testing for C. Diff Need to ensure timely isolation (≤ 4 hours from start of symptoms) Lack of resource to allow diagnostic C.Diff testing of GP samples Lack of funding from commissioners to allow full testing of all GP samples for C Diff. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring shows trajectory missed for first six months of 2011/12, GDH testing will initially increase number of known C.Diff carriers Results show an average of 50% of patients not being isolated as required Growing body of evidence in literature to suggest that hypochlorite may not be the most effective agent available – high ATP scores still being detected from rooms with C.Diff patients More than 80% of GP samples do not get a C.Diff diagnostic test Approximately 8% of samples are inappropriate | GC/GA: review meetings plan with Carillion soft FM to trial new cleaning products – trial scheduled Jan 12 GC/GA: escalate plans for bed cleaning bureau and equipment library. GC/GA: gain funding from commissioners to accommodate required C.Diff diagnostic testing | Jan 12 ongoing Feb 12 Apr 12 | Mar 12 |

STRATEGIC AIM 1: TO PROVIDE BEST CARE AS MEASURED BY CLINICAL EFFECTIVENESS, SAFETY AND PATIENT EXPERIENCE

Responsible Executive: Director of Nursing/Medical Director

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING Risk Owner Responsible Committee | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress | |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE <u>Evidence</u> that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | | On target | Minor obstacle to achieving target | Inability to achieve predicted target |
| 1.4 (16) | Failure to improve results of the national Inpatient survey by 3 points as required by CQUIN | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trust wide action plan Discharge operational Group | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not available until national survey results published | 9 3x3 SB SPSS G | 9 3x3 | 3 3x1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> None | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of real time patient feedback | GC: complete information prescription pilot for urology, diabetes, respiratory and cancer and evaluate. | | Mar 12 | Mar 12 | |

STRATEGIC AIM 2: TO BE THE HOSPITAL OF CHOICE FOR PATIENTS

Responsible Executive: Director of Nursing/Medical Director

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING Risk Owner Responsible Committee | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress |
|----------------------|---|--|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE <u>Evidence</u> that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | On target |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | Minor obstacle to achieving target |
| 2.1 (13) | Implementation of the South Central Strategic Health Authority proposal to centralise provision of vascular surgery results in the loss of service from PHT with concomitant impact on level of support to other specialties, detriment to patient experience and increase in required CIP. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Independent media campaign to retain service Local council member support | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Referral to Portsmouth & Hampshire Health Overview & Scrutiny Committee | 15 5x3 SW SMT | 15 5x3 | 10 5x2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> none | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> None | GC/GA: three month consultation process commencing January 2012 | Review Apr 12 |

STRATEGIC AIM 3: TO ACHIEVE OUR STRATEGIC AIMS, THROUGH LIVING OUR VALUES AND THROUGH THE SKILLS AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT OF OUR STAFF

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress |
|-------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | | On target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | | Minor obstacle to achieving target | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Inability to achieve predicted target | |
| 3.2 (14) | Inability to achieve and maintain Trust target of 85% compliance with statutory and mandatory training by Q4 2011/12 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diverse training delivery methods Robust compliance recording Increased essential update sessions Regular performance review of CSC compliance with Trust target Traffic light reports issued to each CSC identifying staff training requirements Essential skills training transferred to ESR Updated MOTs for ESR | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> None | 6 (3x2) PG SMT | 9 (3x3) | 3 (3x1) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incomplete Trust wide training needs analysis Departmental staff reductions may impact on ability to meet target dates | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Currently not achieving 2011/12 target | GC: CSCs to review and evaluate job descriptions to identify essential skill needs for each relevant staff group. Learning and Development team to update identified requirements for ESR – 1 CSCs yet to return data. Data inputted to ESR for CSCs and being audited for accuracy GC: South Central SHA standardised learning package to be recommended to Trust Board for acceptance to replace elements of Essential Training and release trainers to focus on priority areas for training. PHT to work with SHA on introducing a Skills Passport which will enable training undertaken in other organisations to be recognised in PHT. | Oct 11 Feb 12 Feb 12 Apr 12 Apr 12 | Oct 11 Review Mar 12 | |

STRATEGIC AIM 3: TO ACHIEVE OUR STRATEGIC AIMS, THROUGH LIVING OUR VALUES AND THROUGH THE SKILLS AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT OF OUR STAFF

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress |
|-------------------|---|---|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | On target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | Minor obstacle to achieving target | Inability to achieve predicted target |
| 3.3 (16) | Failure to engage all staff in the Trust 'Bringing Values to Life' campaign | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff and Patient Satisfaction Steering Group (SPSSG) Communications Strategy Key communicators in each CSC Briefing sessions for managers 'Best People' awards CEO Weekly Message, Team Brief and Open Forum 'real time' staff pulse surveys Team brief cascaded to all staff via line managers Trust Values DVD | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase in staff satisfaction through Pulse surveys | 6 (3x2) SC SPSS G | 6 (3x2) | 3 (3x1) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Four core values not incorporated into all HR policies Further engagement of staff required Values not incorporated into recruitment process | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results of national staff satisfaction survey show improvement but concerns in key areas | GC: agree and introduce 'standard values' paragraph to be included in all HR policies and procedures GC: re write policies and associated documents and introduce values based recruitment GC: introduce values pledge key card - postponed | Jul 12 Nov 11 Mar 12 | Jul 12 |

STRATEGIC AIM 3: TO ACHIEVE OUR STRATEGIC AIMS, THROUGH LIVING OUR VALUES AND THROUGH THE SKILLS AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT OF OUR STAFF

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress |
|-------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | | On target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | | Minor obstacle to achieving target | Inability to achieve predicted target |
| 3.4 (14) | Trust fails to achieve improvement in staff satisfaction in line with the quality contract requirement to be placed above the bottom 20% of acute Trusts nationally for each key finding. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff Satisfaction Campaign Steering Group (SSCSG) Improvement plan to address 9 key findings Individual CSC improvement plans Agreed establishment with associated recruitment to nursing posts Staff suggestion scheme – Pound Saving Ideas CSC employee of the month award Pulse Survey CSC leaders recognition and engagement programmes | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not available until national staff survey results Mar 12 | 9 (3x3) SC SSC SG | 9 (3x3) | 6 (3x2) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey results show The quality of a percentage of appraisal is unsatisfactory Organisational information is not communicated to all staff Lack of staff recognition Lack of engagement with senior leaders | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pulse survey does not contain all relevant questions Lack of appraisal quality data | GC: incorporate values into appraisal process GC: ensure use of ESR appraisal template GC: audit the cascade of team brief – included in new pulse survey Nov 11 GC: publicise information to improve work-life balance Trust wide GA: audit of appraisals in each CSC-ongoing | Sep 11 Dec 11 Jan 12 Jan 12 Completed and ongoing Review Jan 12 | Review Jan 12 | |

STRATEGIC AIM 4: TO BE THE EMPLOYER OF CHOICE IN SOUTH EAST HAMPSHIRE

Responsible Executive: Director of Human Resources

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING Risk Owner Responsible Committee | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|---|--|-----------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------|--|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | | On target | Minor obstacle to achieving target | Inability to achieve predicted target | | | |
| 4.1 (13) | Inability to attract the best staff to the Trust, and continue to engage and motivate our current staff will compromise our ability to offer the best care | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Values Campaign Oasis Family friendly policies Tax efficient purchase schemes On site nursery Childcare vouchers Staff lottery | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sickness absence and turnover continue to be below target. Advertised posts receive high quality applicants | 4 (2x2) SMT | 4 (2x2) | 1 (1x1) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Values not embedded Values not incorporated into recruitment process | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> | GC: bring the Trust values to life to continually improve staff survey results – audit results GC: re-write policies and associated documents and introduce values based recruitment | <table border="1"> <tr> <td align="center">Dec 11</td> <td align="center">Jan 12</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Feb 12</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Jan 12</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> | Dec 11 | Jan 12 | Feb 12 | | Jan 12 | |
| Dec 11 | Jan 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Feb 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jan 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

STRATEGIC AIM 5: TO BE IN THE TOP QUARTILE OF NHS HOSPITALS FOR 95% OF ALL THE SERVICES WE PROVIDE

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress | |
|----------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C X L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN | | On target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | | Minor obstacle to achieving target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | | Inability to achieve predicted target | |
| 5.1 (4) | The Trust breaches emergency department quality standards key targets – A & E Patient Impact A & E Timeliness | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key performance indicators Patient flow project | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report Assessment to arrival pilot undertaken in July, showed improvement | 9 3x3 CW | 6 3x2 | 3 3x1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Common pathway developed for all patients to achieve rapid assessment and start of treatment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report | GC/GA: review September pilot findings GC/GA: Revised model being piloted GA: undertake further audit and monitoring of unscheduled returns in both majors and minors | | Mar 12 | |
| 5.2 (4) | The Trust fails to achieve the required referral to treatment targets for admitted patients and reduce the 18 week admitted backlog. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key performance indicators Clinically urgent and MOD patients managed in order of clinical priority Demand management workstream Routine patients booked in turn Additional capacity agreed with PCTs PCT 'red flag' orthopaedic referrals PCT contacting patients offering choice of treatment with the ISTC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance | 9 3x3 CW | 9 3x3 | 3 3x1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 18 week backlog impacting on 95th percentile 18 week backlog impacting on 90th admitted performance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report | GC/GA: activity plan and trajectory in place to clear the admitted backlog, and monitored GC/GA: demand management schemes in place and monitored GC/GA: additional capacity to clear backlog | | Mar 12 | |

STRATEGIC AIM 5: TO BE IN THE TOP QUARTILE OF NHS HOSPITALS FOR 95% OF ALL THE SERVICES WE PROVIDE

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress | |
|----------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN | | On target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | | Minor obstacle to achieving target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | | Inability to achieve predicted target | |
| 5.2A (4) | The Trust fails to achieve the required referral to treatment targets for non-admitted patients and reduce the 18 week admitted backlog. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hand and foot referrals redirected to other providers by PCT Additional validation of non-admitted waiting lists Additional capacity to reduce out-patient waits | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO operational performance report to review 95% standard Weekly waiting list assurance meetings | 9 3x3 CW | 9 3x3 CW | 3 3x1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> > 18 week out-patient waits impacting on 95th non-admitted performance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly operational performance report | GC/GA: | | Mar 12 | |
| 5.3 (4) | The Trust fails to manage demand and capacity to reduce the number of > 6 week diagnostic breaches to required level | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key performance indicators Extra manpower sourced for ultrasound demand Additional screener accredited Increased colonoscopy capacity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report – reported a decrease in breaches and diagnostic trajectory improvement for Sep 11 | 9 3x3 CW | 6 3x2 | 3 3x1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient capacity to reduce non-obstetric ultrasound patient waits | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report | | | Mar 12 | |
| 5.4 (4) | The Trust breaches required cancer referral/screening to treatment standards. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key performance indicators Intensive support Escalation process Additional screener accredited | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report | 9 3x3 CW | 3 3x1 | 3 3x1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of capacity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report | GC/GA: Review of lower GI to be undertaken GGC/GA: new screening requirements may impact on achievement of targets | | Mar 12 | |

STRATEGIC AIM 5: TO BE IN THE TOP QUARTILE OF NHS HOSPITALS FOR 95% OF ALL THE SERVICES WE PROVIDE

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress |
|----------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION | KEY CONTROLS | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE <u>Evidence</u> that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | | On target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | | Minor obstacle to achieving target | Inability to achieve predicted target |
| 5.5 (4) | The Trust fails to meet standard for stroke care by failing to directly admit 90% of patients to the Stroke Unit | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key performance indicators Breach tracking Agreement with ambulance trust to pre-alert PHT of patient on their way to ED Escalation process in place for breaches by ambulance Trust | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report shows improvement on CT access within 1 hour, CT scan within 24 hours of arrival and high risk TIA patients being seen and treated within 24 hours of first contact. | 9 3x3 CW | 9 3x3 | 3 3x1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not all patients are directly admitted to Stroke Unit | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 87% direct admission, target 90%) | Breaches now mainly due to asymptomatic presentations | | | Mar 12 |

| STRATEGIC AIM 6: WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS TO CREATE A SUSTAINABLE, ECONOMIC ENTERPRISE, WHICH ELIMINATES WASTE AND PROVIDES REAL VALUE FOR MONEY | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Responsible Executive: Director of Finance | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress |
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION | KEY CONTROLS | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | On target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | Minor obstacle to achieving target | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Inability to achieve predicted target | |
| 6.2 (26) | The Trust performs a level of activity in 2011/12 that exceeds the cap agreed on the 2011/12 contract. This will effectively mean that the Trust is undertaking activity for no remuneration. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly contract monitoring reports Information on Referral levels Monthly contract review meetings Escalation procedures as outlined in contract Planned Care and Unscheduled Care Boards schemes to manage risk | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revised financial recovery plan agreed with PCT's. This has seen an extra £3.7m invested into the contract baseline to recognise the level of activity being performed above plan. Beyond this the PCTs have agreed to increase the cap by an additional £4m to recognise over performance on Pbr drug exclusions and activity to improve RTT performance | 12 (4x3) SG TAC | 16 (4x4) | 8 (4x2) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Timelag in reporting activity means that monitoring is produced 4 weeks after the event. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The additional investment of £3.7m together with the increase to the cap of a further £4m has helped to reduce the financial risk to the Trust of activity above plan not being paid for. Despite this there remains a possibility that the Trust will perform a level of activity that still exceeds this new investment plus the revised cap. | GC: work with business intelligence team to try and establish weekly early warning system if activity is moving in the wrong direction GA: The Trust will continue to monitor performance against the revised contract baseline and new cap very closely and continue to flag where work is being done in excess of these levels. | Feb 11 - Review position following December's activity information. | Mar 12 |

STRATEGIC AIM 6: WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS TO CREATE A SUSTAINABLE, ECONOMIC ENTERPRISE, WHICH ELIMINATES WASTE AND PROVIDES REAL VALUE FOR MONEY
Responsible Executive: Director of Finance

| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING Risk Owner Responsible Committee | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress | |
|----------------------|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|--|-----------|
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | | On target |
| | | | | | | | | | Inability to achieve predicted target | | |
| 6.3 (26) | 2011/12 Savings targets are not identified & delivered, with subsequent impact on Trust financial position | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly CSC performance meetings PMO tracker providing clear information on which initiatives are 'off-track' Defined CSC reporting arrangements Turnaround Committee Sustainability Board | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly reporting to SHA, TB and CSCs Weekly reporting to TRC The above shows the Trust has identified plans that amount to its total internal CIP target of £25m except a small shortfall of £91k Trust is ahead of plan on it's own internal schemes at end of month 9 | 12 (4x3) SG TAC | 12 (4x2) | 8 (4x2) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retrospective analysis of savings assessment could lead to 6-week lag in detection of target failure Concern remains around the delivery of the £5.5m of savings associated with reduced activity in relation to PCT demand management schemes | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trust is slightly adrift (£2,906k) of its overall savings target at month 9. This relates to non-delivery of demand management schemes for the year to date which to a large extent will be compensated by additional income for activity above plan. Once this is taken into consideration the Trust is only £300k adrift of target. | GC: PMO is encouraging the use of lead indicators and milestones; to enable early warning of plans 'off-track' GC/GA: A system wide recovery plan has been agreed to reduce activity levels part of which is ensuring that existing demand management schemes are delivering (see 6.2 above) | Feb 11 – Review at end of quarter 4 | Mar 12 |

| STRATEGIC AIM 6: WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS TO CREATE A SUSTAINABLE, ECONOMIC ENTERPRISE, WHICH ELIMINATES WASTE AND PROVIDES REAL VALUE FOR MONEY | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Responsible Executive: Director of Finance | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress |
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | On target | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Minor obstacle to achieving target | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Inability to achieve predicted target | |
| 6.4 (26) | The Trust's need to deliver £30.5m of savings in 2011/12 has a detrimental impact on the quality of services provided to patients. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality assurance of plans by CSC management teams. All Turnaround plans have supporting risk analysis completed highlighting how risks to services will be managed Review of savings plans at both monthly performance reviews and Turnaround Committee | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk assessment performed by CSCs and Corporate workstreams as part of savings plan submission | 12 (4x3) SG TAC | 12 (4x3) | 8 (4x2) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a need to ensure that the risk analysis focuses on the risk to service quality as well as the risk of non-delivery. | | GC: clear guidance given to CSCs and Corporate workstreams that they need to report both risks through this mechanism | Mar 11 - Review at end of quarter 4 | Mar 12 |

| STRATEGIC AIM 6: WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS TO CREATE A SUSTAINABLE, ECONOMIC ENTERPRISE, WHICH ELIMINATES WASTE AND PROVIDES REAL VALUE FOR MONEY | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Responsible Executive: Director of Finance | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RISKS | | CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK | | RISK RATING | | | IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE | | ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING | Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress | Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress | |
| REF. NO (CQC Ref) | RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim) | KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk. | POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed | INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L) | CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L) | PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK | GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls. | GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls. | ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance | | On target | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance | | Minor obstacle to achieving target | |
| 6.5 (26) | Challenges associated with ability to ensure the effective delivery of the agreed PHT efficiency plan, as part of the overall whole system plan and to achieve Foundation Trust Status (links to 6.2 and 6.3) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Turnaround workstreams/CSC initiatives with executive sponsorship Whole System Sustainability Planned Care Board Unscheduled Care Board Estates Rationalisation Board - Gap in savings plan closed by estates rationalisation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly CSC performance management & escalation, corporate workstream, finance, workforce and savings reports to TRC Quarterly risk report to TRC Minutes of TRC, Whole System Programme Board, SPB reporting Boards Scrutiny by Non-Executive Director as member of TRC | 16 (4x4) DB TAC | 8 (4x2) | 8 (4x2) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Projected year end savings with additional income adjustment leaves £300k shortfall | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> £5.5m demand management savings reduced due to additional activity delivery, Ability to deliver activity reductions impacted by numerous parties / interface issues and limited enforceable accountability Non-Executive Director has resigned | GA: monitor activity plan/actual month by month and track updates to CSC plans for removal of costs associated with removed activity. Production of automated report to enable weekly provision by activity type (BIU/ICT/FIN) GA: DoF to approach Chairman for a replacement non-Executive Director representative for the TRC GC: further scheme may assist to ensure Trust is at break even or surplus at year end. | Ongoing | Mar 12 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Jan 12 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Mar 12 | | |

| LEADS | | COMMITTEE/GROUP ABBREVIATIONS | | OTHER ABBREVIATIONS | |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|---|
| DB | Deborah Burrows | BI | Business Intelligence | CEO | Chief Executive Officer |
| SC | Samm Coley | CQRM | Clinical Quality Review Meeting | CHOC | Combined Haematology Oncology Centre |
| JD | Julie Dawes | CSC | Clinical Service Centre | COO | Chief Operating Officer |
| SG | Steve Gooch | EMT | Executive Management Team | CoS | Chief of Service |
| PG | Penny Gordon | G&Q | Governance & Quality Committee | CQC | Care Quality Commission |
| SH | Simon Holmes | ICMC | Infection Control Management Committee | CQUIN | Commissioning for Quality and Innovation |
| FM | Fiona McNeight | CQRM | Clinical Quality Review Meeting | EMSA | Eliminating Mixed Sex Accommodation |
| CM | Caroline Mitchell | PEWG | Patient Experience Working Group | ESR | Electronic Staff Record |
| RT | Robert Toole | PSWG | Patient Safety Working Group | HSDU | Hospital Sterilisation and Decontamination Unit |
| CW | Cherry West | SMT | Senior Managers Team | HNU | Head and Neck Unit |
| SW | Steve Williamson | SPSSG | Staff & Patient Satisfaction Steering Group | IQP | Improving Quality Programme |
| | | SSCSG | Staff Satisfaction Campaign Steering Group | LoS | Length of Stay |
| | | SB | Sustainability Board | MHI | McKensie Hospital Institute |
| | | TAC | Turnaround Committee | MSK | Musculoskeletal |
| | | WSC | Workforce Strategy Committee | PMO | Performance Management Office |
| | | | | SHA | Strategic Health Authority |
| | | | | SHIP | Southampton, Hampshire, IOW & Portsmouth |
| | | | | SLAM | Service Level Agreement Manager |
| | | | | SPB | Strategic Partnering Board |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Guidance for the Assessment of Risk Rating

| LIKELIHOOD (frequency) | CONSEQUENCE (impact/severity) | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Insignificant (1) | Minor (2) | Moderate (3) | Major (4) | Extreme (5) |
| Rare (1) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Unlikely (2) | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| Possible (3) | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 |
| Likely (4) | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 |
| Highly likely (5) | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 |

| | |
|---------------|------------------------|
| Green | Low Risk (1 – 3) |
| Yellow | Moderate Risk (4 – 6) |
| Amber | High Risk (8 – 12) |
| Red | Extreme Risk (15 – 25) |

| Levels of Severity of Patient Safety Indicators | |
|--|---|
| None | A situation where no harm occurred: either a Prevented Patient Safety Incident or a No Harm Patient Safety Incident. |
| Low | Any unexpected or unintended incident which required extra observation or minor treatment and caused minimal harm to one or more persons. |
| Moderate | Any unexpected or unintended incident which resulted in further treatment, possible surgical intervention, cancelling of treatment, or transfer to another area and which caused short term harm, to one or more persons. |
| Severe | Any unexpected or unintended incident which caused permanent or long term harm to one or more persons. |
| Death | Any unexpected or unintended incident which caused the death of one or more persons. |