

TRUST BOARD PART I – OCTOBER 2011

Agenda Item Number: 165/11
Enclosure Number: (6)

Subject:	Assurance Framework
Prepared by: Sponsored by: Presented by:	Sheena King – Head of Risk Management Peter Mellor – Company Secretary Peter Mellor – Company Secretary
Purpose of paper <i>Why is this paper going to the Trust Board?</i>	Requires Trust Board guidance Discussion requested by Trust Board Regular Reporting Statutory Requirement
Key points for Trust Board members <i>Briefly summarise in bullet point format the main points and key issues that the Trust Board members should focus on including conclusions and proposals</i>	Trust Board are asked to note <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No changes to risk scores
Options and decisions required <i>Clearly identify options that are to be considered and any decisions required</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the Assurance Framework and consider requirement for further assurance on actions related to significant risks. • Determine any further assurance required on any aspect of the Framework
Next steps / future actions: <i>Clearly identify what will follow the Trust Board's discussion</i>	Any decisions with regard to the severity and/or removal of the risks will be actioned as appropriate and presented to Trust Board in November 11.
Consideration of legal issues (including Equality Impact Assessment)?	None
Consideration of Public and Patient Involvement and Communications Implications?	None

ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK REPORT

Purpose:

To provide the Trust Board with an update on the Assurance Framework

Top Risks

- 6.2 ◀▶ (20): The Trust performs a level of activity in 2011/12 that exceeds the cap agreed on the 2011/12 contract. This will effectively mean that the Trust is undertaking activity for no remuneration
- 1.3 ◀▶ (16): Trust fails to achieve monthly and annual trajectories for hospital acquired cases of C. Difficile infection, identified at or more than 72 hours of admission.
- 6.3 ◀▶ (16): 2011/12 Savings targets are not identified & delivered, with subsequent impact on Trust financial position
- 6.5 ◀▶ (16): Challenges associated with ability to ensure the effective delivery of the agreed PHT efficiency plan, as part of the overall whole system plan
- 2.1 ◀▶ (15): Implementation of the South Central Strategic Health Authority proposal to centralise provision of vascular surgery results in the loss of service from PHT with concomitant inability to support other specialties, detriment to patient experience and increase in required CIP

New Risks

Nil

Risks with an Increased Score

Nil

Risks with a Decreased Score

Nil

Prepared by: Sheena King – Head of Risk Management & Legal Services

Presented by: Peter Mellor – Company Secretary

ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK 2011/12 – PROGRESS SUMMARY – SEPTEMBER 2011

STRATEGIC AIM Executive Lead	OPERATIONAL LEAD RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE	PRINCIPAL RISK (Obstacle to achievement of strategic aim)		CQC OUTCOME REFERENCE	PROGRESS MONTH ON MONTH												TARGET (RESIDUAL) RISK SCORE/DATE TO ACHIEVE
					JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	
1. To provide best care as measured by clinical effectiveness, safety and patient experience (JD/SH)	FMcN (G&C)	1.2	Inability to maintain ongoing compliance with all CQC standards	ALL	9	12	9	8	8	8	8	6	6				6 Nov 11
	CM (ICMC)	1.3	Trust fails to achieve monthly and annual trajectories for hospital acquired cases of C. Difficile infection, identified at or more than 72 hours of admission	8							16	16	16				4 Mar 12
	SB (SPSSG)	1.4	Failure to improve results of the national Inpatient survey by 3 points as required by CQUIN	16									9	9			
2. To be the hospital of choice for patients (JD/SH)	SW (EMT)	2.1	Implementation of the South Central Strategic Health Authority proposal to centralise provision of vascular surgery results in the loss of service from PHT with concomitant inability to support other specialties, detriment to patient experience and increase in required CIP.	13								15	15				10 Oct 11
3. To achieve our strategic aims, through living our values and through the skills and personal development of our staff (TS)	PG (SMT)	3.2	Inability to achieve and maintain Trust target of 75% compliance with statutory and mandatory training requirements at Q4 2010/11 and improve to 80% compliance by Q4 2011/12	14	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6				3 Dec 11
	SC (SPSSG)	3.3	Failure to engage all staff in the PHT 'Bringing Values to Life' campaign	16	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6				3 Jul 12
	SC (SSCSG)	3.4	Trust fails to achieve improvement in staff satisfaction in line with the quality contract requirement to be placed above the bottom 20% of acute Trusts nationally for each key finding.	14							9	9	9				6 Jan 12
4. To be the employer of choice in South East Hampshire (TS)	TS (SMT)	4.1	Inability to attract the best staff to PHT, and continue to engage and motivate our current staff will compromise our ability to offer the best care	13	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4				1 Jan 12
	RK (TAC)	4.2	Trust requirement to reduce workforce costs to meet needs of sustainability programme fails to deliver financial targets and has a detrimental effect on staff morale and Trust reputation	13							9	9	9				3 Dec 11
5. Be in the top quartile of NHS hospitals for 95% of all services we provide (CW)	CW	5.1	The Trust breaches emergency department quality standard key targets – A & E Patient Impact, A & E Timeliness	4							6	6	6				3 Dec 11
	CW	5.2	The Trust fails to achieve the required referral to treatment targets for admitted patients and reduce the 18 week admitted backlog.	4							9	9	9				3 Jan 12
	CW	5.3	The Trust fails to manage demand and capacity to reduce the number of > 6 week diagnostic breaches to required level	4							9	6	6				3 Dec 11

STRATEGIC AIM Executive Lead	OPERATIONAL LEAD RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE	PRINCIPAL RISK (Obstacle to achievement of strategic aim)		CQC OUTCOME REFERENCE	PROGRESS MONTH ON MONTH												TARGET (RESIDUAL) RISK SCORE/DATE TO ACHIEVE
					JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	
	CW	5.4	The Trust breaches required cancer referral/screening to treatment standards.	4							9	6	6				3 Sep 11
	CW	5.5	The Trust fails to meet standard for stroke care by failing to directly admit 90% of patients to the Stroke Unit	4							9	9	9				3 Oct11
	CW	5.6	The Trust fails to undertake urgent CT scan for 50% of suspected stroke patients within 1 hour of arrival	4							9	3	3				3 Oct 11
6. Work with our partners to create a sustainable economic enterprise, which eliminates waste and provides real value for money (RT)	SG (TAC)	6.2	The Trust performs a level of activity in 2011/12 that exceeds the cap agreed on the 2011/12 contract. This will effectively mean that the Trust is undertaking activity for no remuneration	26					12	12	16	20	20				8 Mar 12
	SG (TAC)	6.3	2011/12 Savings targets are not identified & delivered, with subsequent impact on Trust financial position	26					12	16	12	16	16				8 Mar 12
	SG (TAC)	6.4	The Trust's need to deliver £30.5m of savings in 2011/12 has a detrimental impact on the quality of services provided to patients	26					12	12	12	12	12				8 Mar 12
	DH (TAC)	6.5	Challenges associated with ability to ensure the effective delivery of the agreed PHT efficiency plan, as part of the overall whole system plan and to achieve Foundation Trust Status	26						16	16	16	16				8 Sep 11

STRATEGIC AIM 1: TO PROVIDE BEST CARE AS MEASURED BY CLINICAL EFFECTIVENESS, SAFETY AND PATIENT EXPERIENCE

Responsible Executive: Director of Nursing/Medical Director

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING Risk Owner Responsible Committee			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING	Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress	
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance		On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance		Minor obstacle to achieving target	
											Inability to achieve predicted target	
1.2 (All)	Inability to maintain ongoing compliance with all CQC standards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly CSC self-assessment + compliance statements Outcome Leads NHSLA Level 1 accreditation (Feb 10) Accepted for CQC registration without conditions 2010/11 CSC risk registers Mock CSC assessments and associated action plans Monitor Quality Risk Profile monthly Quarterly evidence and action plan review panels established including NED CQC awareness sessions Trust wide action plans for medicines management and privacy and dignity Action plan to address minor concerns for ongoing compliance with outcome 1 and 5 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly compliance to TB and key committees + monthly exception reporting (action plans in place for any identified compliance issues) Clinical dashboards / quality metrics CSC governance reports Mock CSC assessments Outcome of second quarterly evidence review panels show continued improvement in outcome focused evidence Internal CQC audit (Deloitte) Apr 11, demonstrating substantial assurance. CQC Jul 11 report for Outcome 1 (privacy & Dignity) and Outcome 5 (Nutrition) demonstrates overall compliance Compliance audits 	12 (4x3) FMcN G&Q	6 (3x2)	6 (3x2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness of review panels 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Following quarterly assessment a moderate concern has been identified in relation to medicines management (outcome 9) Awaiting CQC report relating as part of the Trust planned review – Trust has received draft report and must respond to CQC by Friday 16 Sep 11. Awaiting final report before assessing risk rating Minor concerns for ongoing compliance for Outcomes 1 and 5 	<p>GC: Review the current arrangements for the evidence review panels, assess effectiveness and establish way forward</p> <p>GA: Weekly meetings being established between Director of Pharmacy, Head of Governance and Director of Nursing to monitor compliance with medicines management action plan</p> <p>GA: Review progress against CQC action plan</p>	<p>Oct 11</p> <p>Nov 11</p> <p>Oct 11</p>	<p>Review Nov 11</p>	

STRATEGIC AIM 1: TO PROVIDE BEST CARE AS MEASURED BY CLINICAL EFFECTIVENESS, SAFETY AND PATIENT EXPERIENCE

Responsible Executive: Director of Nursing/Medical Director

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING		Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance		On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance		Minor obstacle to achieving target	
											Inability to achieve predicted target	
1.3 (8)	Trust fails to achieve monthly and annual trajectories for hospital acquired cases of C. Difficile infection, at or more than 72 hours of admission, thus prejudicing Trust's compliance to the Health & Social Care Act, Outcome 8 of CQC registration and overall Trust CQC registration. This may result in poor patient outcomes – including safety, experience and consequently damage to Trust reputation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> C.Diff reduction action plan All emergency corridor patients with diarrhoea tested for C. Diff Weekly C. Diff MDT ward rounds Daily review by Infection Prevention & Control team to ensure optimal management of patients with C. Diff Enhanced cleaning and decontamination of patient environment Trust wide antimicrobial ward rounds between microbiology and pharmacy Amber incident investigation for failures to isolate symptomatic patients within 4 hours 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring at ward, CSC and Trust level through clinical dashboards July - 4 cases against a trajectory of 8 	16 (4x4) CM ICMC	16 (4x4)	4 (4x1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not all elements of the reduction action plan in place Lack of diagnostic testing to identify C Diff carriers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring shows trajectory missed for first three months of 2011/12, improving results in Jul and Aug 11 	GC/GA: implement all elements of the reduction action plan GC/GA: refine diagnostic testing to identify C Diff carriers – resultant on completion of nation studies	Oct 11 Mar 12	Mar 12	

STRATEGIC AIM 1: TO PROVIDE BEST CARE AS MEASURED BY CLINICAL EFFECTIVENESS, SAFETY AND PATIENT EXPERIENCE

Responsible Executive: Director of Nursing/Medical Director

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING Risk Owner Responsible Committee			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE	ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING	Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress	
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance	On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance	Minor obstacle to achieving target	Inability to achieve predicted target
1.4 (16)	Failure to improve results of the national Inpatient survey by 3 points as required by CQUIN	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trust wide action plan Discharge operational Group 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not available until national survey results published 	9 3x3 SB SPSS G	9 3x3	3 3x1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of real time patient feedback 	GC: Fully implement all points of the August action plan GA: invite and gather patient feedback for every day of August 11 GC/GA: Install Patient poll system GC/GA: Install red comment post boxes GC: complete information prescription pilot for urology, diabetes, respiratory and cancer and evaluate.	Aug 11 Aug 11 Aug 11 All above actions complete Mar 12	Mar 12

STRATEGIC AIM 2: TO BE THE HOSPITAL OF CHOICE FOR PATIENTS

Responsible Executive: Director of Nursing/Medical Director

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING	Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance	On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance	Minor obstacle to achieving target	Inability to achieve predicted target
2.1 (13)	Implementation of the South Central Strategic Health Authority proposal to centralise provision of vascular surgery results in the loss of service from PHT with concomitant impact on level of support to other specialties, detriment to patient experience and increase in required CIP.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Independent media campaign to retain service Local council member support 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Referral to Portsmouth & Hampshire Health Overview & Scrutiny Committee 	15 5x3	15 5x3	10 5x2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SHA have agreed that PHT develop formal option to deliver a vascular service with Chichester - to be finalised No final decision for future service 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SHA have agreed that PHT develop formal option to deliver a vascular service with Chichester - to be finalised No final decision for future service 	GC/GA: gain support for review of proposal from other affected Trusts in the region GC/GA: ensure appropriate timescale for decision making	Ongoing Ongoing	Review Oct11

STRATEGIC AIM 3: TO ACHIEVE OUR STRATEGIC AIMS, THROUGH LIVING OUR VALUES AND THROUGH THE SKILLS AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT OF OUR STAFF

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING Risk Owner Responsible Committee			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE	ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING	Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress	
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance	On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance	Minor obstacle to achieving target	Inability to achieve predicted target
3.2 (14)	Inability to achieve and maintain Trust target of 75% compliance with statutory and mandatory training requirements at Q4 2010/11 and improve to 85% compliance by Q4 2011/12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diverse training delivery methods Robust compliance recording Increased essential update sessions Regular performance review of CSC compliance with Trust target Traffic light reports issued to each CSC identifying staff training requirements Essential skills training transferred to ESR 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly reports to TB and SMT (shows increase in compliance towards achieving target , currently end Q4 – improvement to 75.1%) 	6 (3x2) PG SMT	6 (3x2)	3 (3x1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incomplete Trust wide training needs analysis EMOTs require updating Departmental staff reductions may impact on ability to meet target dates 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Achieved Q4 2010/11 target, working towards achieving 2011/12 target 	<p>GC: CSCs to review and evaluate job descriptions to identify essential skill needs for each relevant staff group. Learning and Development team to update identified requirements for ESR – in progress 60% of CSCs have returned data. Data inputted to ESR for 1 CSC and being audited for accuracy</p> <p>GC: evaluate South Central SHA proposed standardised learning package. If unacceptable review and revise Trust EMOTs – in progress. MOTs have been reviewed and refreshed for ESR</p>	<p>Oct 11</p> <p>Sep 11 Nov 11</p>	<p>Oct 11 Review Dec 11</p>

STRATEGIC AIM 3: TO ACHIEVE OUR STRATEGIC AIMS, THROUGH LIVING OUR VALUES AND THROUGH THE SKILLS AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT OF OUR STAFF

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING		Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance		On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance		Minor obstacle to achieving target	Inability to achieve predicted target
3.3 (16)	Failure to engage all staff in the Trust 'Bringing Values to Life' campaign	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff and Patient Satisfaction Steering Group (SPSSG) Communications Strategy Key communicators in each CSC Briefing sessions for managers 'Best People' awards CEO Weekly Message, Team Brief and Open Forum 'real time' staff pulse surveys Team brief cascaded to all staff via line managers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None available: campaign in its infancy 	6 (3x2) SC SPSS G	6 (3x2)	3 (3x1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Four core values not incorporated into all HR policies DVD to publicise our values to staff and stakeholders not yet complete Further engagement of staff required Values not incorporated into recruitment process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results of national staff satisfaction survey show improvement but concerns in key areas 	GC: agree and introduce 'standard values' paragraph to be included in all HR policies and procedures GC: produce, advertise and distribute DVD – first draft completed GC: introduce values pledge key card GC: re write policies and associated documents and introduce values based recruitment		Jul 12 Sep 11 Nov 11 Jan 12	Jul 12

STRATEGIC AIM 3: TO ACHIEVE OUR STRATEGIC AIMS, THROUGH LIVING OUR VALUES AND THROUGH THE SKILLS AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT OF OUR STAFF

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING	Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance	On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance	Minor obstacle to achieving target	Inability to achieve predicted target
3.4 (14)	Trust fails to achieve improvement in staff satisfaction in line with the quality contract requirement to be placed above the bottom 20% of acute Trusts nationally for each key finding.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff Satisfaction Campaign Steering Group (SSCSG) Improvement plan to address 9 key findings Individual CSC improvement plans Agreed establishment with associated recruitment to nursing posts Staff suggestion scheme – Pound Saving Ideas CSC employee of the month award 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not available until national staff survey results Mar 12 	9 (3x3) SC SSC SG	9 (3x3)	6 (3x2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey results show The quality of a percentage of appraisal is unsatisfactory Organisational information is not communicated to all staff Lack of staff recognition Lack of engagement with senior leaders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pulse survey does not contain all relevant questions Lack of appraisal quality data 	GC: incorporate values into appraisal process GC: ensure use of ESR appraisal template GC: audit the cascade of team brief GC: publicise information to improve work-life balance Trust wide GC: launch CSC leaders 'back to the floor' sessions GC: CSC 'marketplace' at Governors open day GA: redesign pulse survey and launch GA: audit of appraisals in each CSC	Sep 11 Jan 12 Sep 11 Dec 11 Jan 12 Nov 11 Sep 11 Sep 11	Jan 12

STRATEGIC AIM 4: TO BE THE EMPLOYER OF CHOICE IN SOUTH EAST HAMPSHIRE

Responsible Executive: Director of Human Resources

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING Risk Owner Responsible Committee			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING		Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance		On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance		Minor obstacle to achieving target	Inability to achieve predicted target
4.1 (13)	Inability to attract the best staff to the Trust, and continue to engage and motivate our current staff will compromise our ability to offer the best care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Values Campaign Oasis Family friendly policies Tax efficient purchase schemes On site nursery Childcare vouchers Staff lottery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sickness absence and turnover continue to be below target. Advertised posts receive high quality applicants 	4 (2x2) TS SMT	4 (2x2)	1 (1x1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Values not embedded Values not incorporated into recruitment process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	GC: bring the Trust values to life to continually improve staff survey results GC: re-write policies and associated documents and introduce values based recruitment	Review Sep 11 Jan 12	Jan 12	

STRATEGIC AIM 4: TO BE THE EMPLOYER OF CHOICE IN SOUTH EAST HAMPSHIRE

Responsible Executive: Director of Human Resources

STRATEGIC AIM 4: TO BE THE EMPLOYER OF CHOICE IN SOUTH EAST HAMPSHIRE												
Responsible Executive: Director of Human Resources												
RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING	Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress	
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance		On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance		Minor obstacle to achieving target	
4.2 (13)	Trust requirement to reduce workforce costs in line with the sustainability programme fails to deliver financial targets and has a detrimental effect on staff morale and Trust reputation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Turnaround workstream Voluntary redundancy to mitigate compulsory Previously identified workforce plans Each post individually risk assessed to ensure patient care not compromised or staff workload increased and approved by EMT. Clear staff communications re workforce proposals Individual support package for affected staff WSC approved posts held for redeployment opportunities 	•	9 3x3 RK TAC	9 3x3	3 3xa	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Redundancy process not finalised Lack of staff confidence in all Trust communications 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results of Staff survey show areas of concern Results of pulse survey show areas of areas of concern 	GC/GA: complete redundancy process GC/GA: further strengthen and ensure timely robust communication with staff, community and media	Sep 11 Dec 11	Dec 11	

STRATEGIC AIM 5: TO BE IN THE TOP QUARTILE OF NHS HOSPITALS FOR 95% OF ALL THE SERVICES WE PROVIDE

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING		Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance		On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance		Minor obstacle to achieving target	Inability to achieve predicted target
5.1 (4)	The Trust breaches emergency department quality standards key targets – A & E Patient Impact A & E Timeliness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key performance indicators Patient flow project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 4 of 7 standards met) 	9 3x3	6 3x2	3 3x1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Common pathway developed for all patients to achieve rapid assessment and start of treatment – pilot to be planned and undertaken 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 3 of 7 standards unmet) Unplanned re-attendance rates not audited 	GC/GA: introduce unplanned re-attendance action plan initiatives GC: undertake common patient pathway pilot	Aug 11 Aug 11	Dec 11	
5.2 (4)	The Trust fails to achieve the required referral to treatment targets for admitted patients and reduce the 18 week admitted backlog.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key performance indicators Clinically urgent and MOD patients managed in order of clinical priority Demand management workstream Routine patients booked in turn Additional capacity agreed with PCTs PCT 'red flag' referrals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 8 of 12 standards met) 	9 3x3	9 3x3	3 3x1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 4 of 12 standards unmet) 			Jan 12	

STRATEGIC AIM 5: TO BE IN THE TOP QUARTILE OF NHS HOSPITALS FOR 95% OF ALL THE SERVICES WE PROVIDE

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING	Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress	
Risk Owner Responsible Committee												
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN		On target	
									Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance		Minor obstacle to achieving target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance		Inability to achieve predicted target	
5.3 (4)	The Trust fails to manage demand and capacity to reduce the number of > 6 week diagnostic breaches to required level	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key performance indicators Extra manpower sourced for ultrasound demand Additional screener accredited 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 1 of 2 standards met) 	9 3x3	6 3x2	3 3x1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient capacity to reduce non-obstetric ultrasound patient waits Insufficient capacity to reduce colonoscopy patient waits 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 1 of 2 standards unmet: number of >6 week waits at 77) 	GC/GA: business case approved to support increased colonoscopy capacity. Plan to be implemented	Sep 11	Dec 11	
5.4 (4)	The Trust breaches required cancer referral/screening to treatment standards.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key performance indicators Intensive support Escalation process Additional screener accredited 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 8 of 9 standards met) 	9 3x3	6 3x2	3 3x1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of capacity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows number of 62 day screening to treatment < 90% target) 	GC/GA: business case approved to support increased colonoscopy capacity. Plan to be implemented		Sep 11	
5.5 (4)	The Trust fails to meet standard for stroke care by failing to directly admit 90% of patients to the Stroke Unit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key performance indicators Breach tracking Agreement with ambulance trust to pre-alert PHT of patient on their way to ED Escalation process in place for breaches by ambulance Trust 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 4 of 6 standards met) 	9 3x3	9 3x3	3 3x1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not all patients are directly admitted to Stroke Unit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 84.1% direct admission, target 90%) 	GC/GA: restructure specialist nurse function to provide extended presence of stroke co-ordination function	Sep 11	Oct 11	

STRATEGIC AIM 5: TO BE IN THE TOP QUARTILE OF NHS HOSPITALS FOR 95% OF ALL THE SERVICES WE PROVIDE

Responsible Executive: Chief Operating Officer

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING		Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION	KEY CONTROLS	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE <u>Evidence</u> that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance		On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance		Minor obstacle to achieving target	Inability to achieve predicted target
5.6 (4)	The Trust fails to undertake urgent CT scan for 50% of suspected stroke patients within 1 hour of arrival.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key performance indicators Breach tracking Agreement with ambulance trust to pre-alert PHT and deliver patients direct to MAU 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 2 of 6 standards met) 	9 3x3	3 3x1	3 3x1		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly COO's Operational Performance Report (shows 51% completion of urgent CT scan target 50%) 				Oct 11

STRATEGIC AIM 6: WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS TO CREATE A SUSTAINABLE, ECONOMIC ENTERPRISE, WHICH ELIMINATES WASTE AND PROVIDES REAL VALUE FOR MONEY
Responsible Executive: Director of Finance

RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING Risk Owner Responsible Committee			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE	ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING	Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress	
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance		
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance		On target
6.2 (26)	The Trust performs a level of activity in 2011/12 that exceeds the cap agreed on the 2011/12 contract. This will effectively mean that the Trust is undertaking activity for no remuneration.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly contract monitoring reports Information on Referral levels Monthly contract review meetings Escalation procedures as outlined in contract Planned Care and Unscheduled Care Boards schemes to manage risk 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	12 (4x3) SG TAC	20 (4x5)	8 (4x2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Timelag in reporting activity means that monitoring is produced 4 weeks after the event. Concern that PCT demand management schemes have not seen required reduction in activity levels 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Both NHS Hampshire and NHS Portsmouth Have breached their annual activity caps based on July activity information (extrapolated for August) 	<p>GC: work with business intelligence team to try and establish weekly early warning system if activity is moving in the wrong direction</p> <p>GC/GA: Trust had escalated to SHA through monthly monitoring returns and flagged the potential risk that is posed to the Trust's year end I&E position. CEO has written to CEO of SHIP PCTs</p> <p>GC/GA : SHA has requested the health system to deliver a recovery plan that ensures financial balance for all organisations by 5 Oct 11.</p> <p>GA: Trust has produced a range of financial scenarios for the SHA indicating potential impact.</p>	Oct 11 – Review risks once health economy recovery plan is complete and submitted to SHA	Mar 12

STRATEGIC AIM 6: WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS TO CREATE A SUSTAINABLE, ECONOMIC ENTERPRISE, WHICH ELIMINATES WASTE AND PROVIDES REAL VALUE FOR MONEY												
Responsible Executive: Director of Finance												
RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING	Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress	
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance		On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance		Minor obstacle to achieving target	
6.3 (26)	2011/12 Savings targets are not identified & delivered, with subsequent impact on Trust financial position	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly CSC performance meetings PMO tracker providing clear information on which initiatives are 'off-track' Defined CSC reporting arrangements Turnaround Committee Sustainability Board 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly reporting to SHA, TB and CSCs Weekly reporting to TRC The above shows the Trust has identified plans that amount to its total internal CIP target of £25m Trust is ahead of plan on it's own internal schemes at end of month 5 	12 (4x3) SG TAC	16 (4x4)	8 (4x2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retrospective analysis of savings assessment could lead to 6-week lag in detection of target failure Concern remains around the delivery of the £5.5m of savings associated with reduced activity in relation to PCT demand management schemes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trust is slightly adrift (£144k) of it's overall savings target at month 5. This relates to non-delivery of demand management schemes for the year to date. Trust is still developing additional CIP schemes to ensure it has fully identified the £30.5m target. The shortfall is currently £984K 	GC: PMO is encouraging the use of lead indicators and milestones; to enable early warning of plans 'off-track' GC/GA: A system wide recovery plan is being developed to reduce activity levels part of which is ensuring that existing demand management schemes are delivering (see 6.2 above) Gc/GA: Weekly CIP meetings are being held with GMs led by COO to develop additional schemes to bridge the gap	Oct 11 – Review risks once health economy recovery plan is complete and submitted to SHA	Mar 12	

STRATEGIC AIM 6: WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS TO CREATE A SUSTAINABLE, ECONOMIC ENTERPRISE, WHICH ELIMINATES WASTE AND PROVIDES REAL VALUE FOR MONEY											
Responsible Executive: Director of Finance											
RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING	Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance	On target	
										Minor obstacle to achieving target	
										Inability to achieve predicted target	
6.4 (26)	The Trust's need to deliver £30.5m of savings in 2011/12 has a detrimental impact on the quality of services provided to patients.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality assurance of plans by CSC management teams. All Turnaround plans have supporting risk analysis completed highlighting how risks to services will be managed Review of savings plans at both monthly performance reviews and Turnaround Committee 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk assessment performed by CSCs and Corporate workstreams as part of savings plan submission 	12 (4x3) SG TAC	12 (4x3)	8 (4x2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a need to ensure that the risk analysis focuses on the risk to service quality as well as the risk of non-delivery. 		GC: clear guidance given to CSCs and Corporate workstreams that they need to report both risks through this mechanism	Dec 11 - Review at end of quarter 3	Mar 12

STRATEGIC AIM 6: WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS TO CREATE A SUSTAINABLE, ECONOMIC ENTERPRISE, WHICH ELIMINATES WASTE AND PROVIDES REAL VALUE FOR MONEY												
Responsible Executive: Director of Finance												
RISKS		CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE TO MITIGATE RISK		RISK RATING			IDENTIFIED GAPS IN CONTROLS AND ASSURANCE		ACTIONS TO CLOSE IDENTIFIED GAPS/ACHIEVE PREDICTED RISK RATING	Target Date for specific actions Each action RAG rated for progress	Final target date for mitigation of risk RAG rated for progress	
REF. NO (CQC Ref)	RISK DESCRIPTION (Obstacle to achievement of Strategic Aim)	KEY CONTROLS Any specific measures currently in place to control the risk.	POSITIVE (ACTUAL) SOURCES OF ASSURANCE Evidence that shows risks are being reasonably managed	INITIAL RISK RATING (C x L)	CURRENT RISK RATING (C x L)	PREDICTED (RESIDUAL) RISK	GAPS IN CONTROLS The identification of any failure to establish effective Controls.	GAPS IN ASSURANCE The identification of any failure to gain evidence relating to the effectiveness of the Controls.	ACTION PLAN Details of actions to address identified gaps in either Controls or Assurance		On target	
									Plan GC – Gap in Controls GA – Gap in Assurance		Minor obstacle to achieving target	
6.5 (26)	Challenges associated with ability to ensure the effective delivery of the agreed PHT efficiency plan, as part of the overall whole system plan and to achieve Foundation Trust Status (links to 6.2 and 6.3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Turnaround workstreams/CSC initiatives with executive sponsorship Whole System Sustainability Planned Care Board Unscheduled Care Board Estates Rationalisation Board 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly CSC performance management & escalation, corporate workstream, finance, workforce and savings reports to TAC Quarterly risk report to TAC Minutes of TAC, Whole System Programme Board, SPB reporting Boards Scrutiny by Non-Executive Director as member of TAC 	16 (4x4) DH TAC	16 (4x4)	8 (4x2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Currently £922k gap in savings Further opportunity in LoS savings and clinical productivity End of year delivery pipeline projects diagnostic output and structure to be finalised Unidentified additional £2m/annum savings associated with whole system plan related to estates rationalisation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> £5.5m demand management savings associated with £11m reduction in income being finalised. Ability to deliver activity reductions impacted by numerous parties / interface issues and limited enforceable accountability Requires detail of what will be removed in response to demand management of IP and OP activity 	GC: CSCs working up plans for a number of schemes to close the gap and provide for a small surplus in savings to mitigate any red rated savings plans (CSCs) GC: set up of project structure for clinical productivity, workforce related savings from redundancy programme to be shown across CSCs (PMO) GC: investigate best practice across PMOs via Monitor/MHI in relation to additional schemes for delivery in 2011/12 (PMO) GA: monitor activity plan/actual month by month and track updates to CSC plans for removal of costs associated with removed activity. Production of automated report to enable weekly provision by activity type (BIU/ICT/FIN)	Oct 11 Oct 11 Oct 11	Dec 11 (review)	

LEADS		COMMITTEE/GROUP ABBREVIATIONS		OTHER ABBREVIATIONS	
CA	Chris Ash	BI	Business Intelligence	CEO	Chief Executive Officer
SB	Sarah Balchin	CQRM	Clinical Quality Review Meeting	CHOC	Combined Haematology Oncology Centre
MC	Michelle Coles	CSC	Clinical Service Centre	COO	Chief Operating Officer
SG	Steve Gooch	EMT	Executive Management Team	CoS	Chief of Service
SH	Samantha Hedley	G&Q	Governance & Quality Committee	CQC	Care Quality Commission
DH	Deborah Hutchison	ICMC	Infection Control Management Committee	CQUIN	Commissioning for Quality and Innovation
NL	Nicky Lucey	CQRM	Clinical Quality Review Meeting	EDS	Electronic Discharge Summary
PK	Paul Knight	PEWG	Patient Experience Working Group	EMSA	Eliminating Mixed Sex Accommodation
FM	Fiona McNeight	PSWG	Patient Safety Working Group	ESR	Electronic Staff Record
CM	Caroline Mitchell	SMT	Senior Managers Team	HSDU	Hospital Sterilisation and Decontamination Unit
MP	Maria Purse	SPSSG	Staff & Patient Satisfaction Steering Group	HNU	Head and Neck Unit
TS	Tony Short	SSCSG	Staff Satisfaction Campaign Steering Group	HRL	Healthcare Records Library
RT	Robert Toole	SB	Sustainability Board	IQP	Improving Quality Programme
CW	Cherry West	TAC	Turnaround Committee	LoS	Length of Stay
JW	Jeremy Whiteley	WSC	Workforce Strategy Committee	MHI	McKensie Hospital Institute
				MSK	Musculoskeletal
				PMO	Performance Management Office
				SHA	Strategic Health Authority
				SHIP	Southampton, Hampshire, IOW & Portsmouth
				SLAM	Service Level Agreement Manager
				SPB	Strategic Partnering Board

Guidance for the Assessment of Risk Rating

LIKELIHOOD (frequency)	CONSEQUENCE (impact/severity)				
	Insignificant (1)	Minor (2)	Moderate (3)	Major (4)	Extreme (5)
Rare (1)	1	2	3	4	5
Unlikely (2)	2	4	6	8	10
Possible (3)	3	6	9	12	15
Likely (4)	4	8	12	16	20
Highly likely (5)	5	10	15	20	25

Green	Low Risk (1 – 3)
Yellow	Moderate Risk (4 – 6)
Amber	High Risk (8 – 12)
Red	Extreme Risk (15 – 25)

Levels of Severity of Patient Safety Indicators	
None	A situation where no harm occurred: either a Prevented Patient Safety Incident or a No Harm Patient Safety Incident.
Low	Any unexpected or unintended incident which required extra observation or minor treatment and caused minimal harm to one or more persons.
Moderate	Any unexpected or unintended incident which resulted in further treatment, possible surgical intervention, cancelling of treatment, or transfer to another area and which caused short term harm, to one or more persons.
Severe	Any unexpected or unintended incident which caused permanent or long term harm to one or more persons.
Death	Any unexpected or unintended incident which caused the death of one or more persons.